PATENT APPLICATION FOR

SHARED CODE MANAGEMENT

5 **FIELD OF THE INVENTION**

The present invention relates to computer-generated code, and more particularly to the management of code that may be shared.

10 **BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

Various classes of block diagrams describe computations that can be performed on application specific computational hardware, such as a computer, microcontroller, FPGA, and custom hardware. Classes of such block diagrams include time-based block

15 diagrams such as those found within Simulink® from the MathWorks, Inc. of Natick Massachusetts, state-based and flow diagrams such as those found within Stateflow® from the MathWorks, Inc. and data-flow diagrams. A common characteristic among these various forms of block diagrams is that they define semantics on how to execute the diagram.

20

Historically, engineers and scientists have utilized time-based block diagram models in numerous scientific areas such as feedback control theory and signal processing to study, design, debug, and refine dynamic systems. Dynamic systems, which are characterized by the fact that their behaviors change over time, are

25 representative of many real-world systems. Time-based block diagram modeling has become particularly attractive over the last few years with the advent of software

packages such as Simulink® from the MathWorks, Inc. of Natick, Massachusetts. Other examples of software for modeling includes state-based and flow diagrams, such as those found within Stateflow® from the MathWorks, Inc. of Natick, Massachusetts, data-flow diagrams, and software diagrams, such as those found in the Unified Modeling

5   Language. Such packages provide sophisticated software platforms with a rich suite of support tools that makes the analysis and design of dynamic systems efficient, methodical, and cost-effective.

A common characteristic among these various forms of block diagrams is that

10   they define semantics on how to execute the diagram. Many packages include a code generation capability that converts the block diagrams to executable code that can execute on computational hardware, such as, for example, a computer, microcontroller, field programmable gate arrays (FPGAs), and custom hardware. The executable code can be in the form of a general purpose programming language such as C/C++, assembly

15   code, or a hardware description language.

In present day industrial projects, computer-generated models are being used that have reached a stunning level of complexity in terms of size. For example, tens of thousands of blocks that represent primitive and aggregate mathematical operations may

20   be present.

In order to manage the complexity of such models, partitioning is used to create more or less separate and independent modules (or 'units') in the model. This facilitates teams of engineers to work on engineering projects where each engineer (or, possibly

25   again, a team of engineers) is responsible for one unit of the overall model. This

paradigm is often a necessity in that the overall system development task has reached a level of complexity that is beyond the cognizance of any one single individual.

5   Typically, an architectural model is applied that contains a number of more or less independent modules. These modules are developed largely independent of the architecture and so the architecture modelers may be 'customers' of the separate design teams responsible for each of the modules.

10   When a desired architecture has been decided on and specific versions of its included modules have been selected, the overall system needs to be analyzed. This can be done by first using simulation followed by a stage where the implementation as, e.g., generated code for the block diagram is used. Such generated code is used in critical design stages such as rapid prototyping and hardware in the loop simulation.

15   In general, the overall code-base corresponding to an overall block diagram can become very extensive and heterogeneous as different implementations may be chosen for each of the modules and so may consist of binaries generated from different programming languages or even a mixture of programming languages and hardware synthesis languages such as VHDL. Typically, though, one programming language, such 20   as C/C++, is used across the module design teams.

       In large scale modeling projects that rely on automatic code generation, typically a large number of support functions or classes and auxiliary code such as typedefs and global symbols are used in the actual core algorithmic code. For example, to keep cost 25   low, instead of using floating point microprocessors, it may be desired to implement

embedded software on fixed point microprocessors. These, however, rely on fixed

representations of accuracy with which numerical values are captured. The

characteristics of these representations are called their fixed-point datatype. Also, when

generating code for fixed point processors, conversion between these types and from

5   floating point to fixed point as well, is required. This conversion process is implemented

by fixed point conversion utility functionality.

Automatic code generation can create inefficiencies by automatically generating

redundant code. If some type of code sharing is implemented with automatically

10   generating code, difficulties can arise in the management of shared code, such as

management of the proper platform for execution of the code, such as operating systems,

processors, etc. Also, duplicate names of units of code can cause name conflicts when

models are combined, as the names then become ambiguous.

15   **SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

A need exists in the art for a method of managing the code so as to minimize

name clashes and generation of redundant code upon combination or interaction of

independently developed code units. The present invention is directed towards solutions

20   for this need. The present invention enables the use of a method in an electronic device,

The method includes the steps of determining a functionality of a unit of code and an

environment configuration suitable for executing the unit of code and automatically

providing an identifier corresponding to the functionality for the unit of code. Examples

of identifiers can include file names, function names, macro names and class names.

25

4

According to another embodiment of the invention, in an electronic device, a method is provided for the management of software. In this embodiment, the method includes the step of providing an organizational structure having a plurality of constituents, the constituents corresponding to unique environment configurations.

5   Another step provides an identifier corresponding to a functionality of a unit of code in the organizational structure. Examples of organizational structures can include directory structures and class structures, and examples of constituents can include directories and classes.

10   A further embodiment of the invention also provides, in an electronic device, a method for management of software. In this method, a utility having a first functionality is selected to be processed. A characteristic of a first environment configuration is determined. An organizational structure is searched for a constituent corresponding to the first environment configuration. A constituent corresponding to the first

15   environment configuration is created if the constituent corresponding to the first environment configuration is not found in the searching an organizational structure step. A name is generated for the first functionality and the constituent corresponding to the first environment configuration is searched for an identifier of a unit of code, the identifier corresponding to the name for the first functionality. If the identifier is not

20   found in searching the constituent corresponding to the first environment configuration step, the unit of code is created having the first functionality and suitable for execution in the first environment configuration.

It is understood that the methods of the present invention may be located on a medium holding electronic device executable steps and/or may be methods used in an electronic device.

5   **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

The present invention will become better understood with reference to the following description and accompanying drawings, wherein:

Figure 1 illustrates an example model for purposes of discussion;

10   Figure 2 illustrates a method according to an illustrative embodiment of the present invention;

Figure 3 illustrates a method according to another embodiment of the present invention;

Figure 4 illustrates another method according to an embodiment of the present

15   invention; and

Figure 5 is a diagrammatic illustration of an electronic device for implementing an aspect of the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

20

According to various embodiments of the invention, an electronic device and method are provided to enable the management of shared code. In an illustrative embodiment, the invention provides an identifier to correspond to a functionality of a unit of code and an organizational structure having at least one constituent

25   corresponding to an environmental configuration suitable to execute the unit of code.

As shown in the example block diagram in Figure 1, a model 10 sampled sine wave 12 is converted into a fixed point representation by the convert block 14. The data type of this fixed point value is uint16, which is compatible with the input of the Engine

5 model 18. Within this model, a ufix4 fixed point data type is used in intermediate computations, but the output is computed in another fixed point representation, i.e, uint16. This in turn is compatible with the input to the Transmission model 20, that computes a uint8 output, but uses a ufix4 fixed point data type in intermediate computations.

10

When generating code for this architecture, state of the art may generate code for each of the Engine and Transmission models 18, 20 that then need to be combined. Automatic code generation, produces a number of utility files for those two models that may include duplicate functionality. To illustrate, consider the file engine.c generated by

15 the engine model. It contains functionality to convert unit16 into ufix4 as is implemented in the code snippet below by the function FIX2FIX_U4_U16:

```
/* Opaque: '<Root>/Opaque' */
/* Outport: '<Root>/Out2' */
engine3200cc_Y.Out2 = rtb_convert1;

/*
 * Fixed-Point Conversion Block: '<Root>/convert'
 * Input0  Data Type:  Pure Integer   U16
 * Output0 Data Type:  Pure Integer   U4
 * Round Mode: Floor
 * Saturation Mode: Wrap
 * Output's Real World Value should equal
 * input's Real World Value, if possible.
 */

rtb_convert = FIX2FIX_U4_U16(engine3200cc_U.In1);
```

This same functionality, however, is also generated for the transmission model as can be seen in the following code snippet from transmission.c.

```
      /* Opaque: '<Root>/Opaque' */
5     /* Outport: '<Root>/Out2' */
      transmission_Y.Out2 = rtb_Sum;

      /*
       * Fixed-Point Conversion Block: '<Root>/convert'
10     * Input0  Data Type:  Pure Integer   U16
       * Output0 Data Type:  Pure Integer   U4
       * Round Mode: Floor
       * Saturation Mode: Wrap
       * Output's Real World Value should equal
15     * input's Real World Value, if possible.
       */

      rtb_convert = FIX2FIX_U4_U16(transmission_U.In1);

20
```

This conventional approach has two drawbacks: (i) redundant code is generated ('code bloat') and (ii) there may be a conflict when compiling the two models into one architecture as they both define functionality with the same name. In addition to these apparent drawbacks, the generated code that is redundant is compiled as well, leading to

25   longer compilation times.

The present invention is directed toward mitigating the excess generation of redundant code. It can provide minimal algorithm code that links with automatically generated utility functionality. According to an illustrative embodiment, this

30   functionality can be centralized and indexed such that it can be reused by different and separate models. This can minimize overhead in the code generation process by generating the source code only when needed. Similarly, it can reduce compile time as re-used functionality that has been compiled previously does not need to be compiled again.

This invention can minimize difficulties occurring when code of multiple models has to be integrated. Also, it can become possible to have code for different releases co-exist in a model-based embedded software project.

5

According to an illustrative embodiment of the invention, code may be shared if the environmental configuration is suitable for execution of the code and the functionality matches. In order to determine if the environmental configuration is suitable, characteristics of the environmental configuration may be reviewed. Examples

10   of characteristics of the environmental configuration can include, but are not limited to, a word size on a target processor, a word size on a host processor, an execution software type, an execution software version number and an operating system. Matching of functionality can be determined in a wide variety of ways of analyzing characteristics of the functionality of a first unit of code to compare it to the characteristics of the

15   functionality of a second unit of code. In one example, a checksum can be calculated from pertinent characteristics of the functionality. Examples of characteristics of the functionality can include, but are not limited to, an input type and an output type of said unit of code, an operation on an input to said unit of code. A wide variety of checksum comparisons using a one-way hash are within the scope of the invention, examples

20   include, but are not limited to, the MD5 checksum available from RSA Security Inc, of Bedford, Massachusetts or cyclic redundancy check (CRC).

As illustrated in Figure 2, according to an illustrative embodiment of the invention, a method 100 is provided having the initial step of selecting a utility

25   functionality to process, step 110. Characteristics of the current environment

configuration are then determined, step 120, such as by computing a checksum or other quantitative measure of characteristics of the current environment configuration.

An organizational structure is provided. In the illustrative embodiment, the

5 organizational structure is a directory structure that is used for organization of files containing code. Another example of an organizational structure is a class structure. The organizational structure may optionally be configured to have one or more constituents, such as directories or classes, corresponding to a specific environment configuration. The constituents may be named to correspond to the specific

10 environment configuration and/or may be managed by a database mapping specific environment configurations to constituents, such as by the use of checksums formed from characteristics of the environment configuration.

The organizational structure is searched to determine if the organizational

15 structure contains a constituent corresponding to the current environment configuration, step 130. If such a constituent does not exist, the constituent, such as a directory or class, is created, step 140. The constituent is then made the current constituent, step 150.

20 An identifier is generated for the functionality of the utility being processed, step 160. Identifiers are generated such that the same functional characteristics will result in a consistent identifier corresponding to that functionality. For example, a unique mapping may be provided between the functionality and the name of the file that it contains. In one illustration, the utility function to convert uint16 fixed point

25 representation into ufix4, may be called FIX2FIX_U4_U16. In addition to file names,

other examples of identifiers include, but are not limited to, function names, macro

names and class names.

The identifier generation may seek to satisfy the twin constraints of (a)

5   incremental code-generation, and (b) compiler restriction on the length of a function

name (typically less than 32 characters). The first constraint mandates deterministic and

consistent names for utility functions, e.g., a utility function with identical functionality

must always generate the same identifier. The second constraint precludes simplistic

name generation schemes that use arbitrarily long sequences of qualifiers to capture the

10   essence of the functionality in the identifier.

For some types of utilities, such as fixed point utility functions, the total number

of possible functions is finite, less than $2^{\wedge}31$. This makes it possible to use a naming

convention that satisfies the above two constraints.   Examples of such identifiers and

15   associated code are provided below.

Example 1: Divide operation, in the form of a macro. Inputs are uint32 and
uint16, and ouput is uint8.
```
/*****************************************************************
* Fixed Point Division Utility DIV_U8_U32_U16
* Values
* Vc = Va / Vb
* Stored Integer Formula
* C = ( A / B ) * 2^0
*
* Division By Zero
* 0/0 will saturate to the output maximum
* positive/0 will saturate to the output maximum
*
* overflow is possible (in addition to division by zero)
* HiQuot = 2^32 - 2^0
    = (2^32-1) / (1) * (2^0)
* HiOut = 2^8 - 1
* LoQuot = 0
* LoOut = 0
```

```
     * overflows will WRAP (modulo 2)
     * aside from code for division by zero
     * no code specific to overflow management is required
     *
5    * because rounding of negatives does NOT arise
     * round to ZERO equivalent to
     * round to FLOOR equivalent to
     * round to EASIEST
     * no code specific to rounding is included
10   */
     #define DIV_U8_U32_U16(C,A,B) \
     { \
     /* Handle division by zero */ \
     if ( (B) == 0) \
15   { \
     /* saturate to maximum */ \
     (C) = (uint8_T)((0xFFU)); \
     } \
     else \
20   { \
     /* compute quotient */ \
     /* output precision matches natural precision, so \
     * straight division gives desired results \
     */ \
25   (C) = (uint8_T)( (A) / ((unsigned)(B)) ); \
     } \
     } \


     /* end macro DIV_U8_U32_U16
30   ***********************************************************************/


     Example 2: Positive Accumulation operation, with saturation turn on, in the form of a
     macro.  Both input and output's datatype is uint16.
     /*********************************************************************
35   * Fixed-Point Positive Accumulation Utility ACCUM_POS_U16_U16_SAT
     * Values
     * Vc += Vb
     * Stored Integer Formula
     * C += B
40   *
     * overflow is possible for all accumulations
     * overflows will SATURATE
     * code specific to overflow management is included
     */
45   #define ACCUM_POS_U16_U16_SAT(C,B) \
     { \
     /* Implement raw accumulation */ \
     (C) += ((unsigned)(B)); \
     /* Check for overflow */ \
50   if( (C) < ((unsigned)(B)) ) \
```

```
      { \
      /* Saturate overflow to maximum */ \
      (C) = (uint16_T) (0xFFFFU); \
      } \
5     } \

      /* end macro ACCUM_POS_U16_U16_SAT
      *********************************************************************/
```

10   Example 3: Conversion utility, with saturation turn on. It 's a function. input is uint32,
     SR15 means shift right by 15 bits to get output whose type is uint16.

```
     /********************************************************************
      * Fixed-Point to Fixed-Point Conversion Utility
      FIX2FIX_U16_U32_SR15_SAT
15    * Values
      * Vc = Vb
      * Stored Integer Formula
      * C = 2^-15 * B
      *
20    * overflow is possible
      * HiConvert = 2^17 - 1
      * HiOut = 2^16 - 1
      * LoConvert = 0
      * LoOut = 0
25    * overflows will SATURATE
      * code specific to overflow management is included
      *
      * round to ZERO equivalent to
      * round to FLOOR because input unsigned
30    * no code specific to rounding is required
      */
      uint16_T FIX2FIX_U16_U32_SR15_SAT(unsigned int B)
      {
      uint16_T C;
35
      /* saturation cast */
      {
      unsigned int rInTemp = LSRu(15,B);
      if ( rInTemp > (0x0000FFFF) )
40    {
      C = (uint16_T)(0xFFFFU);
      }
      else
      {
45    C = ((uint16_T)rInTemp);
      }
      }
      return (C);
      }
50
```

```
/* end FIX2FIX_U16_U32_SR15_SAT
   ********** ******** * *** ******* ***** ** ** ** **   *******   * **/

#endif
```

Example 4: Multiply operation in the form of a macro. inputs are uint8, and output is uint32.

```
/*******************************************************************
 * Fixed Point Multiplication Utility MUL_U32_U8_U8
 * Values
 * Vc = Va * Vb
 * Stored Integer Formula
 * C = A * B * 2^0
 *
 * overflow is impossible
 * HiProd = 2^16 - 2^9 + 2^0
 * = (2^8-1) * (2^8-1) * (2^0)
 * HiOut = 2^32 - 1
 * LoProd = 0
 * LoOut = 0
 * so SATURATE verses WRAP is irrelevant
 * no code specific to overflow management is required
 *
 * rounding irrelevant 2^0 NO shifts right
 * no code specific to rounding is required
 */
#define MUL_U32_U8_U8(C,A,B) \
{ \
 \
C = (((unsigned int)(A)) * ((unsigned int)(B))); \
} \

/* end macro MUL_U32_U8_U8
 ********************************************************************/
```

For other types of utility functions, such as exported graphical functions from Stateflow® charts, auto-generated specialized functions from Embedded MATLAB® Scripting blocks, the space of possible functions is potentially infinite and a naming convention may not satisfy the second constraint of name-length restrictions. For these types of functions, a checksum may be computed based on one-way hash functions using functional characteristics of the utility that can uniquely define it. The bit-pattern of the checksum may be used to compute a small, but probabilistically unique prefix for

the function name. This scheme satisfies the above two constraints: (a) one-way hash

functions yield deterministic prefix strings, (b) one-way hash functions "compress"

arbitrary number of qualifiers that define the utility into typically small strings (under 20

characters) that are probabilistically unique, e.g., the likelihood of generating the same

5   prefix for two different utilities may not be zero, but is very low. Furthermore, the

generated utility functions can be embedded with comment strings that contain the full

specification of the functions, which can be used to detect and flag incorrect sharing.

Example 5

In the following example, two different specializations originate from a
10   polymorphic function to compute absolute value. These are generated from an
Embedded MATLAB scripting block. The first specialization computes absolute
value of a vector of 3 elements. The second specialization computes the absolute
value of a vector of 4 elements. The defining attributes of each specialization are
(a) name of the function, (b) number of input parameters, (c) number of output
15   parameters, (d) sizes and types of input parameters, and (e) sizes and types of
output parameters. These attributes can be captured as a comment string (shown
inside the body of the function). Applying a well-known one-way hash function
algorithm (Message Digest 5 algorithm) on this comment string produces a 128
bit binary stream (16 bytes). This binary stream can be converted into an ASCII
20   character string by a variety of techniques. In the examples shown, the
stringification was done by mapping each byte of the 16 byte stream to a letter in
the range (a-z). The resultant 16 character string is used as a uniquifying prefix
for the name of the shared utility function as well as the file containing it. The
following examples show how two seemingly similar but different
25   specializations produce two distinct function names that are unique within the
first 16 characters. Furthermore, the name generation depends only on the
attributes of the specialization, thus ensuring deterministic and consistent name
generation.

```
30    void hdjmjmopdbiephlf_abs(real_T *x, real_T *y)
      {
      int8_T i;
      int32_T i1;
      /* real_T
35    argin = 1
      argout = 1
      input 0
      type = real_T{col}[3]
      Mclass = [3 x 1 double]
40        /
      for(i1 = 0; i1 <= 2; i1++) {
```

```
y[i1] = 0.0;
}
for(i = 1; i <= 3; i++) {
y[(int8_T)(i - 1)] = fabs(x[(int8_T)(i - 1)]);
}
}

void jekfopphmophhlfk_abs(real_T *x, real_T *y)
{
int8_T i;
int32_T i1;
/* real_T
argin = 1
argout = 1
input 0
type = real_T{col}[4]
Mclass = [4 x 1 double]
*/
for(i1 = 0; i1 <= 3; i1++) {
y[i1] = 0.0;
}
for(i = 1; i <= 4; i++) {
y[(int8_T)(i - 1)] = fabs(x[(int8_T)(i - 1)]);
}
}
```

With further reference to the method 100 of Figure 2, the generated identifier is

compared with identifiers present in the current constituent, step 170. If a matching

identifier is not found, code is generated in the current constituent and placed in as a unit

of code having the generated identifier, step 180. Examples of units of code can include

files, functions, macros and classes.

If all required utility functionalities have not been processed, step 190, the

method 100 repeats again at another utility functionality to process, step 110. If all

utility functionalities have been processed, the method 100 ends.

According to another illustrative embodiment of the invention, a method 200 is illustrated by way of example in Figure 3. A functionality of a unit of code is determined, step 210. An environment configuration suitable for executing the unit of code is also determined, step 220. An identifier is automatically provided to correspond

5   to the functionality of the unit of code, step 230. Examples of identifiers can include file names, function names, macro names and class names.

According to another illustrative embodiment of the invention, a method 300 is illustrated by way of example in Figure 4. An organizational structure is provided

10   having a plurality of constituents that correspond to unique environment configurations, step 310. Examples of organizational structures can include directory structures and class structures, and examples of constituents can include directories and classes. An identifier is also provided to correspond to a functionality of a unit of code in the organizational structure, step 320. Examples of identifiers can include file names,

15   function names, macro names and class names. The illustrative embodiment of the invention provides a directory structure having files in directories.

It is understood that the methods of the present invention may be located on a medium holding electronic device executable steps and/or may be methods used in an

20   electronic device.

Figure 5 illustrates one example embodiment of an electronic device 11 suitable for practicing the illustrative embodiment of the present invention. The electronic device 11 is representative of a number of different technologies, such as mainframes,

25   personal computers (PCs), laptop computers, workstations, personal digital assistants

(PDAs), Internet appliances, cellular telephones, and the like. In the illustrated embodiment, the electronic device 11 includes a central processing unit (CPU) 13 and optionally has a display device 15. The display device 15 enables the electronic device 11 to communicate directly with a user through a visual display. The electronic device

5   11 may further include a keyboard 17 and a mouse 19. Other potential input devices not depicted include a stylus, trackball, joystick, touch pad, touch screen, and the like. The electronic device 11 may include primary storage 21 and/or secondary storage 23 for storing data and instructions. The storage devices 21 and 23 can include such technologies as a floppy drive, hard drive, tape drive, optical drive, read only memory

10   (ROM), random access memory (RAM), and the like. Applications such as browsers, JAVA virtual machines, and other utilities and applications can be resident on one or both of the storage devices 21 and 23. The electronic device 11 may also include a network interface 25 for communicating with one or more electronic devices external to the electronic device 11. A modem (not shown) is one form of establishing a connection

15   with an external electronic device or network. The CPU 13 has either internally, or externally, attached thereto one or more of the aforementioned components.

Numerous modifications and alternative embodiments of the present invention will be apparent to those skilled in the art in view of the foregoing description.

20   Accordingly, this description is to be construed as illustrative only and is for the purpose of teaching those skilled in the art the best mode for carrying out the present invention. Details of the structure may vary substantially without departing from the spirit of the invention, and exclusive use of all modifications that come within the scope of the appended claims is reserved. It is intended that the present invention be limited only to

25   the extent required by the appended claims and the applicable rules of law.